IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

TUESDAY, THE 9TH JANUARY 2007 / 19TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 544 of 2007(M)

PETITIONER:

SHAKEELA ASHRAF, AGED 38 YEARS,
W/O. (LATE) T.A.ASHRAF, (P.C. E-7217),
SHAKEELA MANZIL, U.C.COLLEGE P.O.,
ALUVA-683 102, ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P.A.NOOR MUHAMMED
SRI.C.K.PRASAD

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
ITS SECRETARY, DEPARTMENT OF PERSONNEL &
ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS (ADVICE-C),
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF HOME, GOVERNMENT OF KERALA,
GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
STATE OF KERALA, POLICE HEAD QUARTERS,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

4. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
ERNAKULAM.

5. THE COMMISSIONER OF POLICE,
KOCHI CITY, ERNAKULAM.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SHRI. BIJOY CHANDRAN

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 09/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J.

WP(C)No. 544 OF 2007

Dated this the 9th January, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner is a widow. She is unemployed though she possesses a graduate degree. Her husband died in harness on 27.2.2003. As on the date of death, he was working as police constable. Ext.P2 application in the prescribed proforma along with other enclosures were submitted by her to the Commissioner of Police, Kochi city seeking the benefit of compassionate appointment under the dying in harness scheme. Govt. Pleader submits that the application has been forwarded by the department to the Government and the same is under consideration of the Government. The petitioner has represented the dire need for an employment to tide over the financial crisis and the representation filed by her before the Hon'ble Chief Minister was forwarded to the concerned department for urgent action.

2. In the circumstances, I am inclined to dispose of the writ petition directing the second respondent to pass orders in accordance with law without any further delay. A time limit of six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment is fixed for the above purpose. The petitioner shall produce a copy of the judgment along with

a copy of the writ petition before the second respondent for information and compliance.

K.K.DENESAN
Judge

jj